

conduct every test or perform all research, study, and experimentation recommended or demanded by a commenter. When responding to comments, lead agencies need only respond to significant environmental issues and do not need to provide all information requested by reviewers, as long as a good faith effort at full disclosure is made in the EIR.

It is important to also note that comments regarding the proposed project's ultimate appropriateness of the project for the site or comments that do not directly raise an environmental issue are referred to decision-makers, in this case the Planning Commission and Shasta County Board of Supervisors, for further consideration as part of the deliberative process. Accordingly, a response is provided noting when a particular statement, general commentary, expression, or opinion does not warrant a detailed response under CEQA.

The Draft EIR for the proposed Tierra Robles Planned Development (SCH No. 2012102051) project was circulated for review and comment by the public, agencies, and organizations. The Draft EIR was also circulated to State agencies for review through the State Clearinghouse, Office of Planning and Research. The 45-day public review period was originally noticed to begin on October 24, 2017 and end at 5:00 p.m. on December 7, 2017. The public review period was ultimately extended 22-days to December 29, 2017.

14.3 COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT EIR

Section 15088 of the State *CEQA Guidelines* requires that the Lead Agency evaluate comments on environmental issues received from persons and agencies that reviewed the Draft EIR and prepare written responses addressing each of the comments received. The comments and responses contained in this section and the Draft EIR together comprises the Final EIR. Any additional County recommendations or requirements during the certification will make up the final components of the Final EIR. The following is an excerpt from the State *CEQA Guidelines* §15132:

“The Final EIR shall consist of:

- (a) The Draft EIR or a version of the draft.*
- (b) Comments and recommendations received on the Draft EIR either verbatim or in summary.*
- (c) A list of persons, organizations and public agencies commenting on the Draft EIR.*
- (d) The responses of the Lead Agency to significant environmental points raised in the review and consultation process.*
- (e) Any other information added by the Lead Agency.”*

A list of agencies, organizations, and interested persons who have commented on the content and adequacy of the Draft EIR is provided below. The County received a total of ninety (90) written responses (i.e., comment letters and email correspondence) during the 67-day circulation of the Draft EIR. A copy of each numbered comment letter and a lettered response to each comment is provided below under Section 14.4, RESPONSES TO COMMENTS.

COMMENT LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

State Agencies

- Letter 1 Governor's Office of Planning and Research – January 2, 2018
- Letter 2 Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board – November 1, 2017

- Letter 3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife – December 26, 2017
- Letter 4 California Highway Patrol – November 28, 2017

Local and Tribal Agencies

- Letter 5 Columbia Elementary School District – October 31, 2017
- Letter 6 Shasta County Local Agency Formation Commission – December 7, 2017
- Letter 7 Bella Vista Water District – December 22, 2017
- Letter 8 Wintu Tribes of Northern California – December 29, 2017

Commenting Persons

- Letter 9 Public Interest Law Project – December 28, 2017
- Letter 10 Wintu Audubon Society – December 28, 2017
- Letter 11 California Oaks – December 29, 2017
- Letter 12 California Native Plant Society, December 27, 2017
- Letter 13 Robert J. Grosch – October 25, 2017
- Letter 14 Karen and Tom Taylor – October 27, 2017
- Letter 15 Dr. and Mrs. Thomas K. Gandy – November 5, 2017
- Letter 16 Phillip and Kay Gibson – November 6, 2017
- Letter 17 Robert J. Grosch – November 6, 2017
- Letter 18 Brad Seiser – November 10, 2017
- Letter 19 Hank and Elizabeth Slowik – November 11, 2017
- Letter 20 Gunther and Jean Sturm – November 11, 2017
- Letter 21 Leonard and Paula Incristo – November 11, 2017
- Letter 22 Yana Patton – November 13, 2017
- Letter 23 John Whitmer – November 13, 2017
- Letter 24 Leslie Golden – November 13, 2017
- Letter 25 Brad Seiser – November 13, 2017
- Letter 26 Brad Seiser – November 14, 2017
- Letter 27 Brad Seiser – November 15, 2017
- Letter 28 Brad Seiser – November 15, 2017
- Letter 29 Karen Taylor – November 15, 2017
- Letter 30 Tom Taylor – November 15, 2017
- Letter 31 Brad Seiser – November 17, 2017
- Letter 32 Jeannette Baugh (November 22, 2017)
- Letter 33 Christopher L. Stiles of Remy, Moose, Manley, LLP – November 22, 2017
- Letter 34 Brad Seiser – November 29, 2017
- Letter 35 Philip G. Marquis – December 2, 2017
- Letter 36 Renee Ottzman – December 3, 2017
- Letter 37 Mary Severson – December 4, 2017
- Letter 38 Anita Brady – December 6, 2017
- Letter 39 Nathan Hayler – December 6, 2017
- Letter 40 Sue and Randy Brix – December 7, 2017
- Letter 41 Dewayne and Marcia Ellenwood – December 7, 2017
- Letter 42 Brad Seiser – December 7, 2017
- Letter 43 Michael Papillo – December 7, 2017
- Letter 44 Bobbi Pollett – December 7, 2017
- Letter 45 Susan – December 7, 2007

- Letter 46 Stephanie Isaac – December 7, 2017
- Letter 47 Gary and Anne Schoenberger – December 10, 2017
- Letter 48 James and Tresa Griffith – December 10, 2017
- Letter 49 Philip G. Marquis – December 11, 2017
- Letter 50 Gregory Marshall – December 13, 2017
- Letter 51 Scott Grant – December 14, 2017
- Letter 52 Stanley W. Hamrick – December 15, 2017
- Letter 53 Sue Harbert – December 17, 2017
- Letter 54 Jacqueline Matthews – December 21, 2017
- Letter 55 Maggie Freeman – December 22, 2017
- Letter 56 Rick Thompson – December 22, 2017
- Letter 57 Christie Smith – December 22, 2017
- Letter 58 Rebecca Final – December 26, 2017)
- Letter 59 Steve & Diane Davis - December 26, 2017
- Letter 60 Mr. & Mrs. Ronald Cibard - December 22, 2017
- Letter 61 George and Janice Smith - December 26, 2017
- Letter 62 Amy Allen – December 27, 2017
- Letter 63 Linda Welch – December 27, 2017
- Letter 64 Kathy Creasey – December 27, 2017
- Letter 65 Barbee and Brad Seiser – December 27, 2017
- Letter 66 Thomasina Maneely – December 28, 2017
- Letter 67 Robert and Joan Tornai – December 28, 2017
- Letter 68 James and Tresa Griffith – December 28, 2017
- Letter 69 James and Tresa Griffith – December 28, 2017
- Letter 70 Ed Walters – December 28, 2017
- Letter 71 Kathy and Steve Callan – December 29, 2017
- Letter 72 Ann Mobley – December 27, 2017
- Letter 73 Glenn and Sara Hoxie – December 28, 2017
- Letter 74 Andrew Creassy – December 28, 2017
- Letter 75 Nancy Main – December 29, 2017
- Letter 76 Terri Thompson - December 26, 2017
- Letter 77 Gary and Angela French – December 28, 2017
- Letter 78 Glenn and Sara Hoxie – December 28, 2017
- Letter 79 Irene and Jason Salter – December 29, 2017
- Letter 80 Carol and David Waters – December 29, 2017
- Letter 81 John Whitmer – December 28, 2017
- Letter 82 Leah Mecchi – December 29, 2017
- Letter 83 Tom and Becki Semb – December 29, 2017
- Letter 84 Leslie Golden – December 29, 2017
- Letter 85 Eleanor Townsend – December 29, 2017
- Letter 86 Ron and Gina Knowles – December 27, 2017
- Letter 87 Michael R. Shapiro – December 29, 2017
- Letter 88 Brad Seiser – December 29, 2017

Applicant Comments

- Letter 89 Wildland Resource Managers – December 28, 2017
- Letter 90 S2-J2 Engineering – December 29, 2017