

Community Corrections Partnership (CCP)  
 Executive Committee Meeting  
 November 20, 2019  
 City Hall – Caldwell Park Conference Room  
 777 Cypress Avenue, Redding CA

MEMBERS	Title of Agency	Present	Absent
Tracie Neal	Chief Probation Officer – Chairman	X	
William Schueller	City of Redding Chief of Police	X	
Tom Bosenko	Shasta County Sheriff	X	
Stephanie Bridgett	Shasta County District Attorney	X	
William Bateman	Shasta County Public Defender		X
Melissa Fowler-Bradley	Shasta County Superior Court – a presiding judge or designee of the superior court		X
Donnell Ewert	HHSA – the head of the county department of mental health	X	

Attendees:

Erin Bertain, Eric Jones, Teresa Skinner – Shasta County Probation Department  
 Elaine Grossman – County Administrative Office  
 Eric Magrini – Shasta County Sheriff’s Office  
 Angie Mellis – Shasta County District Attorney’s Office  
 Shawn Watts – Shasta County Superior Court  
 Dominic Evezia, PhD. – Shasta County Health and Human Services Agency  
 Joel Northrup – Shasta County Marshal’s Office  
 Brian Muir – Shasta County Auditor Controller’s Office  
 Amanda Owens, Danielle Gehrung – GEO Reentry  
 Chris Ormsby, Christie Brewster – Smart Center  
 Steve Kohn, Matthew Macias – Member of the Public

Meeting Overview

The meeting was called to order at 2:33 p.m. A quorum was present. Introductions were made.

Public Comment

No public comment.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Stephanie Bridgett moved to approve the October 16, 2019 minutes. Tom Bosenko seconded the motion. Motion passed: 5 Ayes, 0 Noes, 0 Abstentions

Tracie Neal asked the group if there was a consensus to move the action items up in the agenda. Stephanie Bridgett needed to leave early and wanted to be part of the action item discussions. The group agreed.

### Action Items

#### *CCP Meeting Dates*

Tracie Neal requested changing the June meeting to the 10<sup>th</sup> or the 24<sup>th</sup>.

Stephanie Bridgett stated that the February date was not going to work for her. Tracie Neal asked if the 12<sup>th</sup> or the 26<sup>th</sup> would work. Donnell Ewert stated that neither of those worked for him. Stephanie Bridgett said the 12<sup>th</sup> worked for her. Elaine Grossman clarified that the 12<sup>th</sup> is a holiday. Tracie Neal said that the February meeting is their budget meeting, so they can look at a different day as well. Tom Bosenko asked if it needed to be in February, or if they could back it up to January 29<sup>th</sup>. Erin Bertain explained that in January they talk about the department proposals and have a discussion, and then in February they vote on the actual percentages. Stephanie Bridgett suggested February 5<sup>th</sup>. The group agreed on February 5<sup>th</sup>.

Tracie Neal asked if there was a date in June that might work besides the week of the 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup>, and 17<sup>th</sup>. Stephanie Bridgett stated that she is not free on the 10<sup>th</sup>, but the 17<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> are fine. Tracie Neal asked if the 24<sup>th</sup> would work for the rest of the group. William Schueller stated that he would not be available the last two weeks of June. Tracie Neal stated that they could hold the meeting without Chief Schueller as long as the other members could be there. Tracie Neal further stated that they could have the meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup>, without Stephanie, or they could meet on the 24<sup>th</sup>, without Chief Schuller. William Schuller and Stephanie Bridget both stated that they would be okay with missing the meeting. Tracie Neal asked Donnell for his preference who indicated he had no preference. Tracie Neal selected the 24<sup>th</sup> so that there would be more time between the May and June meetings.

Stephanie Bridgett made a motion to approve the calendar as amended. Tom Bosenko seconded the motion.

Motion Passed: 5 Ayes, 0 Noes, 0 Abstentions

#### *Local Innovation Subaccount*

Tracie Neal distributed a *Local Innovation Subaccount (LIS) Summary* handout that outlined the history of the Local Innovation Subaccount (LIS), the actions the committee has taken, and the projects Shasta County has funded. She stated that there is additional money in the account and she would like to have a discussion about moving forward and allocating funds for another project consistent with the first project funded - to provide services to individuals for best practice crime prevention projects for youth up to 18 years old. Tom Bosenko asked if it needed to be for youth. Tracie Neal stated that it doesn't but the CCP identified that population in the past. She clarified that the funds could be used for anything that falls within the identified accounts. Tom Bosenko asked if they were at maximum capacity for STEP-UP or if more funds could be added to the program to expand it. Tracie Neal stated that the CCP is funding a specific population, and

Probation is working with HHSa to expand capacity using CalFresh funding. She said she wasn't sure what it would cost the college and had concerns that the cost may exceed the dollars they have. Tracie Neal explained the previous process and indicated they released an RFP to see what programs were available and they had people from the CCP sit on the panel to review the submissions. Tom Bosenko asked for more information about STEP-UP including CalFresh folks. Erin Bertain said there is some money in HHSa for training and education for individuals who are eligible for CalFresh. Using this funding, they expanded the STEP-UP program which allows the cost for people in the STEP-UP program who are eligible for CalFresh to be charged to HHSa and CCP funds to be redirected to expand the program by 25. Donnell Ewert clarified that it is a Federal match, they put up local match to draw down federal resources, and the CCP money will serve as the local match. Tom Bosenko asked about the impact on the CCP because the 109ers are not CalFresh. Donnell Ewert said that many of the AB109 offenders are eligible for CalFresh. Tom Bosenko asked if the Feds matched dollar for dollar. Donnell Ewert answered in the affirmative. Erin Bertain said they could then use that money to build the program and then match again later. She said it's an interesting compounding match and they will see how that works. She further clarified that the population hasn't changed. She said the population in the contract is the same population, but of that population, there are many that are eligible for CalFresh and, if those individuals get connected to CalFresh, they can use the CCP dollars, because it's not federal, to match and draw down the additional funding and increase the size of the program. Tom Bosenko asked if the population of STEP-UP is at max. Tracie Neal answered in the affirmative. Tom Bosenko asked if it could be expanded any further with additional funds. Eric Jones said that they would need someone from the college to talk about how many more staff they would need. Tom Bosenko asked how much is in the innovation account. Erin Bertain said it is about \$100,000 after current obligations are met. She said they would get some additional money in this year, but reminded them that last fiscal year they had a larger than normal growth, which meant that 10% of that larger than normal growth was also larger than normal.

Tom Bosenko asked if the Medically Assisted Treatment (MAT) program was fully funded for the first six months. Eric Magrini answered in the affirmative. He stated that, even if they were full, starting at ground zero, it would cost \$60,000 for the first six months. He stated that with what they were offered today, they have enough funding to carry them through the first six months considering it will take a while to get things off the ground. Tom Bosenko said that they could use the funds for MAT. Tracie Neal stated that if they are looking at spending the dollars on the adult population, she would want to review the conversation at the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC), because some of the dollars also come from the Juvenile Justice growth account. Donnell Ewert clarified that she is saying they should spend the dollars on youth. Tracie Neal clarified the process they went through prior included the JJCC and the CCP because the funding comes from funding from both CCP and Juvenile Justice growth accounts. She further stated that they had several conversations at the JJCC and the CCP and both of those committees made the recommendation to spend the innovation funds on best practices for youth under 18 and crime prevention. She said if the group wants to change the funding focus, she would just want to have that calendared for a JJCC meeting as well. Erin Bertain said the bulk of the money comes from the CCP. She said about 10% comes from JJCPA and 10% comes from the Public Defender/District Attorney directly allocated AB109 funds. She said they did facilitate an extensive process the last time received a consensus from all groups, including this one, to fund a prevention type project. She agreed that, if they were to go with a different route than what was

discussed in those meetings, they would have to repeat that process. Tom Bosenko asked if MAT has a potential for a match for CalFRESH funding as well. Donnell Ewert said that they can't use federal dollars for healthcare in a correctional facility.

Tracie Neal said they did not have to make a decision today. She stated she brought it before and they decided to hold off but agreed it was an area that they should be thinking about. She suggested that they could go back to the groups and do some brainstorming for ideas. She said they were estimating doing an RFP for \$50,000. Erin Bertain agreed and said they don't want to drain the fund to the point that they can't continue to fund the existing program, just do something extra with some of the fund balance. Tom Bosenko said his idea wasn't to drain the account, but to use some dollars to bolster some of the CCP funded projects or use the funds for match on another project. He said it might require a bit more discussion suggested they table it and think on it for the next meeting.

Donnell Ewert stated there was a meeting last week about the School Attendance Review Board (SARB) reforms and asked if there was any place there they could work on, especially with the teenagers who are vulnerable and whose parents can't get them to go to school. He said he knows it's not a crime, but those kids are going down a certain path. Tracie Neal confirmed there is potential to get some RFP money out in that direction for resources for schools. She clarified that since this type of project would be in line with the previously established target area they could issue a similar RFP to the last one and one of those school districts or SCOE could apply. She remembered that at the JJCC they had a lot of people come from the schools and there was a lot of interest in the funding.

Donnell Ewert said another idea would be around the group homes. Tracie Neal clarified Short-Term Residential Therapeutic Program (STRTP). Donnell Ewert answered in the affirmative and asked if there was something they could do for crime prevention in the group home setting since they seem to have calls for service. He said he didn't know what the idea would be, but issues in STRTP's seemed to be causing a lot of angst.

Tracie Neal said they can hold off and she could add it to another agenda. She said Judy Flores is a member of the CCP and she might have some ideas, so they can always schedule it for a future CCP meeting.

Tom Bosenko said he had discussions with Eva Jimenez and the college around trying to expand some educational projects into the jail. He said right now all they have are GED and a few programs. He said that if they could start the STEP-UP program in the jail, participants could transfer to the college itself as they were released. Tracie Neal asked if they wanted to start talking about serving the adult population with these dollars, or keep it to the youth under 18. Donnell Ewert said he liked the idea of serving the youth because the rest of the CCP is for adults. William Schueller agreed that they should start early. Stephanie Bridgett said she was okay with either, but she agreed for youth since they aren't already doing that. Tracie Neal asked if she should calendar it for another meeting, and everyone could review the handout. The group agreed. Tracie Neal stated that if she calendars it for January she can always invite Judy, otherwise, the next larger group meeting wouldn't be until March 18<sup>th</sup>. She said that by then, there should be two more larger school meetings regarding the SARB process.

### *BSCC FY 2019/20 CCP Survey*

Tracie Neal said they received the CCP Survey on the Sunday before the holiday and worked hard to get it completed. She reminded the group that this is the BSCC survey that has to be submitted by December 13<sup>th</sup> to receive \$100,000 in training and implementation dollars. She said she knows that they just sent it to everybody yesterday afternoon, and apologized, but said they were really trying to get it on this meeting to avoid having to schedule a special meeting for review. She pointed out that they left the agenda item open to allow options for the Committee. She said they can review it today, provide input and vote for probation to submit it, or they can consider scheduling another meeting to allow more time to review and process it. She said it's a lot of information and they got it out as quickly as they could, considering the information that had to be included. She shared that Ben Hannah and a few other entities were very helpful in getting them the necessary information. Erin Bertain noted that if they wanted to schedule a special meeting, it would have to happen before December 13<sup>th</sup>. Stephanie Bridgett said that she read versions of it as it was being created, and she is fine with moving forward.

Donnell Ewert motioned to approve the BSCC FY 2019/20 CCP Survey. William Schueller seconded the motion.

Motion Passed: 5 Ayes, 0 Noes, 0 Abstentions

### Financial Report

Stephanie Bridgett left the meeting at 2:57 p.m.

### *State Allocations to Shasta County*

Elaine Grossman distributed a *FY 19/20 Revenue* handout and stated on the landscape side, it lists "target to date" as only 96%, which is typical. She said that has happened in prior years too and it takes a couple of months to get above the 100% target as a result of the allocations being based on the timing of sales receipts coming into the state. She stated the opposite side of the handout is the historical table that she provides from time to time. She said she updated the information based on information she received from the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) at the beginning of October for the main CCP allocation which is incorporated into the upper half. She said she did not any new figures for the DA/PD on the lower half.

### *Annual Expenditure Report for FY 2018/19*

Erin Bertain distributed an *AB109 2019/20 Approved Budget (with updated Fund Balance & Revenue)* handout and stated at the end of every year they provide updated actual expenditures from the previous year as well as updated fund balances. She reminded the group that at budget time when they talk about fund balance and what is going to be remaining the next year, they always use estimated expenditures for the current Fiscal Year to do these projections. She said they've had conversations every year about how the fund balance is decreasing significantly from year to year. She stated on the handout under the column "FY 18/19 Actual Expenditures," actual expenditures came in lower by about \$566,000 from those estimated during the budget process.

She said these lower expenditures increased the estimated fund balance by a similar amount resulting in a new fund balance of \$5.9 million. She stated that this is a very good thing, but pointed out that in the 2019/20 budget, their budget exceeds the revenue that they are expecting by about \$3.2 million. Considering that, the fund balance at the end of FY 2019/20 will be \$2.7 million, which is less than what they are using in the current fiscal year. She said that they don't generally spend all of their budget, but even spending at 90%, they still would only have about \$3.6 million at the end of the Fiscal Year. She said regardless of the ongoing conversations they've had regarding fund balance, the spending and budget have been ramped up and at some point very soon, they are going to be having difficult conversations about where to reduce the budget and spending as the fund balance is depleted.

## Discussion Items

### *AB109 Growth Dollars*

Tracie Neal distributed a *Growth Dollar Summary* handout to help the group understand the growth dollar formula, what they have received over the years in regards to Shasta County's growth allocation, and why significant growth was received in certain years. Erin Bertain noted that it is not money that they can count on from year to year. She said there has been a wide swing in the amount of growth dollars received from year to year. She stated they had a couple of really good years, but one of them was because the state recalculated the AB109 allocation and, because Shasta County's percentage went down significantly, they were compensated by increased growth for that one year only. She said last year's growth was based on significant swings in recidivism for two years which was an anomaly. She said the most important thing about growth money is it's really not something they can budget from year to year.

Matthew Macias asked how they track recidivism. Tracie Neal referred to the first page and said they collect data from the Judicial Council, the Department of Justice, the Probation Department, and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR). She said the Judicial Council and legislators review the information, cross check, and make a determination.

Donnell Ewert asked if growth increases the base or is it just like a bonus where the base doesn't change. Erin Bertain said that it doesn't work like the other realignments where the growth an individual county receives is added to their base to form a new base for the following year. She said their percentage of the total base doesn't change, so if the overall base increases, their allocation increases, but the increase isn't equivalent to base plus growth. Donnell Ewert said that it is pay for performance meaning they take the growth in sales tax and they distribute it according to how they have performed. Erin Bertain answered in the affirmative.

Donnell Ewert asked about the workgroup that was working on recidivism so they could perform a little better. Tracie Neal stated that they still have the SB678 workgroup. She said they regularly review data and cases, and are getting ready to review another big set of cases to look for areas for improvement. Donnell Ewert said an \$800,000 swing is significant. Tracie Neal agreed and said they can have the SB 678 workgroup report out after their review. She reminded the committee that the first report out from this workgroup resulted in adding the Probation officer just to work with second strikers and provide the support, supervision, and accountability for them. She added

that they also added an officer to manage the High-Risk Transition caseload which provides immediate attention to a population of offenders they were losing within the first couple of weeks after their release from custody.

### Operational Updates

Danielle Gehrung said the Shasta Day Reporting Center is getting ready for their next graduation on January 14<sup>th</sup> at the Holiday Inn, and they would love for everyone to attend. She said she would also be sending out the invitation electronically. Donnell Ewert asked how many graduates they are projecting. Danielle Gehrung replied they are projecting 13 graduates.

Donnell Ewert said Dean True has been working on the CIT training and has been able to schedule April 1-3, 2020 and August 24-26, 2020 for law enforcement agencies and encouraged these agencies to send people who haven't been trained before. He said the date for the one day training for non-law enforcement staff hasn't been selected yet and requested feedback on which month would be good. He said that since William Bateman was the main person interested, and he wasn't present, he'd have Dean reach out to him. Tracie Neal said Probation would want to send some non-sworn staff and Stephanie Bridgett was interested as well. Tom Bosenko said the Sheriff's Office would also like to send some non-sworn staff who interact with the public. Tracie Neal suggested they schedule it to be added onto one of the trainings for law enforcement officers. Donnell Ewert said it would make it easier for the trainer. Tracie Neal agreed and said that it also might decrease the travel cost. Tom Bosenko also agreed and said there are more vacation requests from staff in the summer. Donnell Ewert said they could avoid the summer.

Tom Bosenko said the jail is still moving forward with the Jail Based Competency Treatment (JBCT) and they got the contract back from the state. He said MAT is still moving forward and they are trying to get that off the ground and going. He provided the following statistics as of November 19<sup>th</sup> for the jail/custody division: 434 inmates housed (capacity is 484), average length of stay 132 days; 149 in the alternative custody program, 26 to 27 average bookings per day, 128 sentenced, 306 unsentenced (71% which is a little bit above normal), 14 pending state prison, 67 sentenced to less than a year, one sentenced to 7 years, 2 sentenced to 6 years, 3 sentenced to 5 years, 3 sentenced to 4 years, and 5 sentenced to 3 years, 17 in contract beds (they could send more out if they had more funding but they are trying to stay within their means), 27 in for homicide, 14 in for attempted murder, 48 in for drug related crimes, 36 in for sex crimes, 27 in for property crimes, and 67 in for parole/PRCS/Probation violations, and 14 awaiting state prison transport. Eric Magrini said they have been primarily using Lassen for out of county placement. He clarified that they charge around \$70/day which means they are budgeted for about 19 inmates out of county at a time. Tom Bosenko said it helps that Lassen is the least expensive and is a shorter drive versus El Dorado or Nevada.

### Future Agenda Items

Eric Magrini said they heard from Hill County and their crisis intervention team gets 6-7 calls per day for individuals in mental health crisis. He said he would like to revisit the AB109 Compliance team concept again, in collaboration with probation and the police departments, and once the team is up and running again, incorporate the crisis intervention team. He said there is a model that

Donnell shared that is being utilized in San Antonio where they're reducing their use of force and reducing their officer injuries as a result of having a specialized team with this training. He said it would serve a dual purpose where they can be doing AB109 enforcement again and have that accountability team out in the community and they could have a partnership with Hill Country where they could respond to the law enforcement needs of the crisis intervention team which would alleviate patrol and provide officers with the specialized training to assist with the mental health component. He said he thinks there is a lot of potential there and grant funding sources available as well. Tom Bosenko asked if Hill Country primarily served Redding. Donnell Ewert said they do the I-5 corridor. Tom Bosenko clarified that they might go up to Shasta Lake, or if they had something downtown Anderson, they would go. William Schueller said they go 20 minutes outside of the city. Tom Bosenko asked if RPD used them. William Schueller answered in the affirmative. Tracie Neal asked if it is working well. William Schueller answered in the affirmative. Donnell Ewert said there is a gap for someone who is more physically agitated where there are some safety concerns which require a joint response with law enforcement and this group could help. He said it wouldn't necessarily cost any more because they would be serving a dual purpose and would be doing compliance checks when they weren't called out to assist the Crisis Intervention Team.

Erin Bertain reminded the group that they would be talking about budget in January and they don't have a December meeting. She said she would send an email out to everybody's fiscal staff for their budget requests for the next year. She said it will be similar to last year in that a budget justification would be required per the change to the bylaws.

#### Next Meeting

Tracie Neal stated their next meeting would be January 15, 2020, in the Caldwell Park Conference Room at 2:30 pm.

#### Adjourn

Tom Bosenko motioned to adjourn. William Schueller seconded the motion.  
Motion passed: 4 Ayes, 0 Noes.

Meeting adjourned at 3:19 p.m.