

**Community Corrections Partnership Meeting**  
**June 8, 2011**  
**National University, Room 209**

**Attendees:**

Rick Kyle, Unit Chief, Joe Hernandez, Fred Tulley, Marc Romero - **Cal Fire**, Shasta Trinity Unit  
Rachelle Neal, Project Director - Shasta County **Child Abuse Prevention Council**  
Terri Love & Mike Butcher - Shasta County **Child Support Services**  
Julie Hope, Principal Administrative Analyst - Shasta **County Administrative Office**  
Stephen Carlton, District Attorney - Shasta County **District Attorney's Office**  
Donnell Ewert, Director of Regional Services - Shasta County **Health & Human Services Agency**  
Mark Montgomery, Director - Shasta County **Mental Health/Adult Services**  
David Reiten, Deputy Director /ADP Administrator - Shasta County **Mental Health/Alcohol & Drug**  
Denny Mills, Executive Director - Shasta County **Office of Education**  
Wesley Forman, Chief Probation Officer - Shasta County **Probation**  
Jeffrey Gorder, Public Defender - Shasta County **Public Defender**  
Pete Hansen, Chief of Police - City of **Redding Police** Department  
Sheila Ashmun, Undersheriff & Dan Van Buskirk, Captain/Custody - Shasta County **Sheriff's Office**  
Melissa Fowler-Bradley, Court Executive Officer - Shasta County **Superior Court** Administration  
Cleo Tocmakidis, Agency Staff Services Analyst, Shasta County **Probation**

**Handouts:**

Senate Bill 678, Chapter 608  
Penal Code 1230  
Advisory Committee  
Offender Impact

**Meeting Overview:**

The meeting was called to order at 2:10 p.m. Introductions were made.

An overview of **SB 678** was presented by Wes Forman. SB 678 was approved in 2009 to help reduce criminal conduct by adults and promote change using evidence based and best practices to reduce recidivism and prison populations. AB 678 mandates that Community Corrections Partnerships be developed and chaired by the Chief Probation Officer and include members of the local community (specifics listed in the bill) to serve as advisors. The committee may be expanded to include others not listed in the bill.

A baseline for Shasta County was created using information from 2006 through 2008 for the population of probationers who violated their probation or committed a new violation. This baseline does not change from year to year. The baseline and target number for Shasta County Probation is 14.6%. Shasta County used funding from a Cal-EMA grant to purchase a risk assessment tool and train staff in the use of the tool and training in how to use motivational interviewing when working with probationers. Once the risk assessments were completed, probationers were then sorted to caseloads

based on their risk scores. Criminogenic needs are then identified and probationers are targeted for services that will best assist them in not reoffending. Between 2009 and 2011, Probation's numbers (probationers who violated their probation or committed a new violation) were below 14.6 and Probation has been awarded monies for 2011 and 2012, which will be distributed quarterly. Although these monies are directed to Probation, they may be used for direct services, alternative custody, staff, etc.

An overview of **AB 109** was presented by Wes Forman which led to general discussion. This bill is known as realignment, and was signed into law to help the governor reduce prison populations. It was understood that counties will be given monies to accomplish the goal. How much money will come to each county has yet to be determined. The monies will go into each county's general fund. The Courts will receive separate funding.

Each county will create an Executive Committee with five members specifically named in AB 109. Once monies are distributed to counties, the Executive Committee will make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) on an implementation plan. Once the executive committee is formed, a plan needs to be developed to decide how to use the funding, and how to formalize the plan to submit the local BOS for approval. Considerations will include community need, priorities, how to distribute monies, etc. If the BOS does not pass the recommendation, the committee will rework the report and resubmit to the BOS for approval.

There was general discussion regarding the two bills, and various concerns were voiced. A recommendation was made to invite a regional person from Parole to the next meeting to help the group have a better understanding of the type of offenders we will be working with. Others that the group may want to include are Ken White from the Mission, representation from Restoration Enterprises, CDCR, EDD, and SMART. Committee members were asked to forward any name of potential future attendees to Wes Forman.

If the budget passes on June 15<sup>th</sup>, the AB 109 will go into effect on July 1<sup>st</sup>. The Courts will implement the new law immediately and the various county agencies will need to act. Future meetings will address how the group will move forward. Mark Montgomery, Jeffrey Gorder, and Pete Hansen volunteered to help Wes Forman plan for the next meeting.

Attendees will forward models used in the past that may help the committee to move forward.

The County's 2000 Community Corrections Action Plan was presented by Captain Van Buskirk. Wes stated it needed to be updated, especially to include Evidence Base Practices (EBP). The group agreed to review it as a starting point for the CCP plan.

The next meeting is scheduled for 2:00 p.m., June 22, 2011, in Room 209 at National University.