

Community Corrections Partnership (CCP)
Executive Committee Meeting
October 19, 2016
City Hall – Caldwell Park Conference Room, Second Floor
777 Cypress Street, Redding, CA

Attendees:

Tracie Neal, Edward Miller, Erin Ceccarelli, Chelsey Chappelle, Jeremy Kenyon, Ruby Fierro –
Shasta County Probation Department
Jeff Gorder – Shasta County Public Defender
Melissa Fowler-Bradley – Shasta County Superior Court
Donnell Ewert – Shasta County Health and Human Services Agency
Eric Magrini – Shasta County Sheriff’s Office
Stephanie Bridgett – Shasta County District Attorney’s Office
Elaine Grossman – Shasta County Administrative Office
Karen Day – Department of Adult Parole Operations
Danielle Caito – Shasta Day Reporting Center
Jackie Durant – HOPE City
Robert Wharton – Member of the Public

CCP Executive Committee Members are in bold.

Meeting Overview

The meeting was called to order at 3:03 p.m. A quorum was present. Introductions were made.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Donnell Ewert made a motion to approve the minutes from August 17, 2016. Jeff Gorder seconded the motion. Motion passed: 4 Ayes, 0 Noes.

Financial Report

State Allocations to Shasta County

Elaine Grossman distributed a *Fiscal Year (FY) 2016/17 Realignment Revenue Report* and stated that the adjusted amounts have declined because of reduced sales tax receipts. She continued by stating that items without any numbers have not yet been updated by the state. She stated that Shasta County’s FY 2016/17 reduction is just under \$200,000, the September payment has been

received, and local innovation subaccount and FY 2015/16 growth should be received sometime in October.

Planning and Implementation Funds

Erin Ceccarelli distributed the *CCP Planning Grant* handout and the *AB 109 Training and Implementation* handout. She stated that there were no new items for training or implementation, however, there were planning costs for CCP Executive members.

Annual Expenditure Report for FY 2015/16

Erin Ceccarelli distributed the *AB109 Budget to Actuals handout and the AB109 – FY 16/17 Budget Requests – Approved* handout. Donnell Ewert asked about revenue reduction from anticipated budget and the change in the revenue estimates from the FY 16/17 budget. Erin Ceccarelli stated that some of the reductions happened in the May Revise and some were a result of lower sales tax.

Discussion Items

Stepping Up California Summit

Tracie Neal gave an overview of the Summit stating that it will focus on how counties can develop plans to safely reduce mental illness in jails. Shasta County can send a six person team. Tracie Neal, Dean True, Donnell Ewert, and Dave Kent will be attending. Melissa Fowler-Bradley stated that she was interested in going. Tracie Neal stated that she will confirm attendance with the Board of Supervisors and will arrange for registration. She continued by stating that those who are interested in more information can find it online at <https://stepuptogether.org/>.

Methadone Clinic Update

Donnell Ewert stated that since the presentation at the July CCP meeting, there have been a number of visits to the Aegis Clinic in Chico. He stated that it resembles a medical office, but the majority of its use is for counseling services. He continued by stating that there are plans to open a medication-only clinic in Redding to serve the 85 patients living in Redding that currently participate. This clinic will be able to serve up to 100 people. The patients will still have to go to the Aegis clinic in Chico weekly for counseling services. Aegis is currently looking for a building and may be able to open in six months. Bay Area Addiction Research & Treatment is considering opening a full clinic in Shasta County for private pay. Tracie Neal asked about the process of opening a clinic in Shasta County and working with County after opening. Donnell Ewert stated that to open a full clinic, any organization would need full approval from the County's Alcohol and Drug Administrator, who would also want Board of Supervisors approval. However, Aegis would not need county approval to open a medication-only clinic, since they would be doing it under their current licensing in Butte County. Jeff Gorder asked if the Aegis medication-only clinic will accept Medi-Cal. Donnell Ewert answered in the affirmative. Melissa Fowler-Bradley asked if there was a reason as to why Aegis is not opening a full clinic in Redding. Donnell Ewert stated that in 2011 when Realignment occurred, Drug Medi-Cal was one of the programs that was realigned. Because Shasta County did not already have a methadone clinic prior to 2011, the county was under resourced in the distribution of funding. The County could front the money to

start a clinic and, in theory, those funds would be made whole after the fact by the State. The County is not considering going that route at this time is because, if the County decides to contract with Partnership Health Plan for Organized Healthcare Delivery, then the money for a clinic may be able to come from an alternative revenue stream. Melissa Fowler-Bradley asked how much money would the county have to provide without this alternate funding stream. Donnell Ewert stated that he doesn't have those figures but estimated it would be about \$500,000. He continued by stating that Shasta County has more of a demand for a clinic than they did in 2011 because of increased opiate use throughout the County. Jeff Gorder asked about the timeline to get the medication-only clinic up and running. Donnell Ewert stated that they cannot file their paperwork to open the clinic until they have a location, but once the location is finalized it would take between 4 and 6 months. Tracie Neal recommended an additional follow-up in January. Donnell Ewert agreed and stated they should find out fairly soon if the model with Partnership Health Plan will be accepted.

Probation Department Update

Tracie Neal distributed a *2015/16 Probation Overview* handout. She stated that the average number of offenders have increased from 1969 in FY 2014/15 to 2151 in FY 2015/16 (an increase of 9.2%) with the Realignment population remaining at about 25% of the total population. Mandatory Supervision (MS) dropped from 199 in FY 2014/15 to 143 in FY 2015/16 (a decrease of 2.8%). Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) continued to increase from 309 in FY 2014/15 to 394 in FY 2015/16 (an increase of 27.5%). Forty nine percent of the total population continues to be at High Risk to reoffend. There has been a slight decrease (between 5-10%) in the number of reports written. Most interestingly is the decrease in POST reports, dropping from 347 reports in FY 2014/15 to 238 reports in FY 2015/16 (a decrease of 31.4%). Since the inception of AB109, we have received 1185 offenders at a rate of 15 to 20 offenders per month. Projections from the CDCR have been lower than what we have received from State. We have not received the CDCR projections for FY 2016/17. Historically, we have reported on just the SOR data, but PSOR data has been combined with it, so this represents both groups of data. We will be separating that data in order to track SOR trends. The percentage of split sentences for 1170h offenses continues to be high which is good because the person is held accountable with time in custody and released to probation to be supervised following their release.

Tracie Neal continued by stating that MS is being used less than in the past. She stated that in FY 2015/16 there were 51 individuals sentenced, whereas in 2014/15 there were 84 individuals sentenced and in FY 2013/14 there were 156 individuals sentenced. For all years, 75% or more were receiving the split sentence.

Tracie Neal continued by stating that Probation has been tracking Prop 47 case closures and has documentation of 375 cases closed due to that legislation from inception to May 2016. Jackie Durant asked if those individuals were on Probation. Tracie Neal stated that they were on Felony Probation and had their cases were reduced to a misdemeanor. Elaine Grossman clarified that those individuals still have criminogenic needs but are no longer directed to services through Probation if they are not on Probation. Tracie Neal confirmed. Jeff Gorder stated that it was possible for the individuals to have multiple cases and still be on Probation. Tracie Neal agreed and stated that they were only counting the number of cases that were reduced, not the number of individuals impacted. Jeremy Kenyon stated that Prop 47 may be part of the reason for the downward trend for MS cases

because a lot of the MS cases had reducible crimes, and with the closures of those cases, their sentences were completed.

Tracie Neal stated that although the department reported on Recidivism last year, the numbers for this year are still being cross-checked. She stated that 60% of the population resides in Redding, 15% in Anderson, 6.4% in Shasta Lake City, and 4.3% in Cottonwood and West County. The remaining offenders reside in various other parts of the county. She stated that the homeless population data is more difficult to understand because of the manner in which the Probation Officers enter the data. She stated that some of the population is in Sober Living and/or inpatient treatment and would otherwise be homeless, and that the data does not capture those who are staying on the couches of friends and family. She stated that with this in mind, the homeless population averaged about 214 individuals for FY 2015/16. She said that Probation is consistently using 75 to 77 GPS units. She stated that the Mental Health Clinician at the CCC is used by Probation Officers for those offenders that they believe are in need of a higher level of care. In FY 2014/15, of those referred to the Mental Health Clinician, 6 were referred to additional mental health services and 136 were referred to alcohol and drug services. In 2015/16, 16 were referred to additional mental health services and 102 were referred to alcohol and drug services. She stated that the number of referrals from Probation had increased as well and that more of those individuals showed up for their appointments.

Jackie Durant asked what the supervision breakdown for the homeless population was. Tracie Neal stated that we did not have the data broken down in that manner and that the 214 represented the entire population adult population. Jeff Gorder asked if that number included low and moderate risk levels. Tracie Neal stated that it included all risk levels. Jeff Gorder asked how many Probation Officers supervised the population. Jeremy Kenyon stated that it was less than 20. Jeff Gorder asked how many total offenders there were in FY 2013/14 and if there was a decrease due to Prop 47. Tracie Neal stated that Probation still has seen an increase in the number of offenders and has not been affected by Prop 47. She continued by indicating that having more Probation Officers is always helpful as they have high caseloads, making it difficult to effectively supervise offenders.

Tracie Neal continued by sharing measures tracked on the entire adult population stating that the Probation Department has remained steady on the number of positive drug tests which is between 16-17%. Chelsey Chappelle clarified that the majority of the testing comes out of the AOP, that we do not test those who get tested by the DRC, and that we do not test those who disclose that they will give a dirty test. She continued by stating that the offenders who disclose receive sanctions to help with the drug use issues. Melissa Fowler-Bradley clarified that the offenders in the DRC are not tested by Probation and Chelsey Chappelle confirmed and stated that unlike the DRC, Probation does not include any tests that are refused. She continued by stated that the offenders most in need are referred to the DRC. Tracie Neal stated that data was pulled from their assessment tool and found that there has been a small increase in the last three years for offenders with a GED or High School Diploma; there was an increase to offenders with pro-social ties; and there was a decrease in the number of offenders with people willing to participate in their success.

Jeff Gorder asked about the top three criminogenic needs. Tracie Neal stated that those would be included in the year-end report. Jeff Gorder asked about substance abuse and if the numbers are broken down by types of drugs used. Tracie Neal stated that data is not currently tracked but thought that it might be able to be tracked through the assessment tool. She continued by clarifying

that the Offender Needs Assessment tool is only used on offenders with a high risk to reoffend or offenders who have received an override by a supervisor. Donnell Ewert asked if it would be useful to screen all offenders for drug use. Chelsey Chappelle stated that there have been ongoing discussions about not treating drug use unless it is a top criminogenic need because often offenders use because of their other issues. She continued by stating that the department has started using a Mental Health Clinician and other assessments to determine if residential drug treatment services would be helpful. She stated that the biggest need is sober living to help offenders stay clean. She stated that Officers are getting better at understanding when referrals to residential treatment is necessary and that the same is true for referrals to Collaborative Courts. She stated that the department is moving more towards educating the Officers on the best route for appropriate referrals.

Action Items

Evidence-Based Correctional Program Checklist and Evaluation Protocol

Tracie Neal indicated that this item was a result of the August action item where it was suggested that we find out how much to train an additional four staff which is \$5,500. She stated that this request would result in a total of eight staff for a total of \$16,000. She reminded the members that the training will be with Butte County, who will be training four staff. Melissa Fowler-Bradley asked the number of hours it would take staff to evaluate each program. Tracie Neal stated that it would be two staff per program evaluation, a lead and a co-lead, and it would be 26 hours of work for the lead and 13 hours of work for the co-lead. She continued by stating that the hope would be that with training more staff, each staff member would not be used as often and would have the knowledge base to help with the evaluation follow-up. Melissa asked how many programs would be evaluated. Tracie Neal stated that we would like to evaluate each program that we contract for except for the DRC as BI hires Dr. Latessa to evaluate their programs. Jeff Gorder asked how many programs would be evaluated per year with the increased number of staff going through the training. Tracie Neal stated that it would still be two to start and perhaps three per year the following years. She continued by stating that the department has asked staff who was interested and received a large number of responses. She stated that the Latessa certification would only work for the agency that the person was trained in. Melissa asked how this would work when Probation Officers already have so much work to do. Tracie Neal stated that illustrates the benefit of training more individuals as it would be their turn much less often. She continued by stating that the commitment of evaluating programs would increase the effectiveness of the programs which would increase the success of the offenders and decrease recidivism. Chelsey Chappelle stated that training officers in this will also help them to understand how the treatment programs work and how they will work with their offenders and will help to build that relationship. Melissa Fowler-Bradley asked how the officers would keep up with their skills if they are only using the material every other year. Tracie Neal stated that Latessa offers continued coaching and support. Melissa Fowler-Bradley asked how long the certification lasts. Tracie Neal stated that it will last as long as the employee is working with the department. Jeff Gorder stated that part of the original \$10,500 was the transportation money, so why not get them to train more people while they are out here to essentially reduce the cost per person.

Jeff Gorder made a motion to approve the \$5,500 from planning funds. Donnell Ewert seconded the motion. Motion passed: 4 Ayes, 0 Noes. Tracie Neal stated that Probation would come back with updates.

Collaborative Court Alcohol and Drug Counselor

Tracie Neal stated that Jeff Gorder requested that the item be placed on the agenda. She continued by stating that she received an email from Judge Gaul in support of adding an alcohol and drug counselor and if approved, the collaborative court group would need to meet up to establish expectations. She stated that the email noted that the REC and BHC are reliant on community based programs to provide drug and alcohol services and that the REC and BHC struggle with ongoing communications with those programs. Melissa Fowler-Bradley stated that we have just enough programs that we're struggling to make referrals to the right programs. She stated that there is a missing link to guide offenders and keep tabs as they go through counseling. She stated that Judge Gaul would appreciate having that resource, but work to establish guidelines would need to happen. She continued by acknowledging that offenders need help navigating the system. Jeff Gorder stated that the AOP has two alcohol and drug counselors to assist the program and that it has been an effective model. He stated that the REC has quite a few participants with drug and alcohol issues and many in the BHC have co-occurring substance abuse issues. He stated that they need this additional resource. Melissa Fowler-Bradley stated that part of REC is to help those who have failed out of AOP or could have benefitted from AOP but were not eligible because of the established criteria. Jeff Gorder stated that the REC is a last resort and includes offenders who are very high risk and stated that it is worth the effort to try to help these offenders who, if they fail, would go to prison and reduce recidivism. Melissa Fowler-Bradley stated that if they make it into REC that we need to do everything we can to provide services and this is the area where we fall short.

Donnell Ewert asked to which department the employee would belong. Jeff Gorder stated that the employee would belong to HHSA. Donnell Ewert clarified that the employee would be part of the team for REC and BHC and asked if the groups would be together or separate. Jeff Gorder stated that they would be separate. Donnell Ewert asked if the offenders would be ordered to attend the group run by the counselor or if they would still have an option to go to another provider. Jeff Gorder stated that the details would need to be discussed before implementation. Donnell Ewert asked if they need a counselor or a case manager because the skillset for the two are different. Jeff Gorder and Melissa Fowler-Bradley agreed that the need is for a counselor because the communication would improve and the counselor would attend court. Donnell Ewert stated that groups with all participants would create comradery and develop the peer-to peer support. He continued by suggesting that the number of providers available to the programs be limited. Jeff Gorder stated that it could be worked out. Donnell Ewert stated that it would also be more cost effective to have the counselor running the groups rather than paying outside contractors in addition to the counselor. Ruby Fierro stated that some of the REC offenders are at the DRC. Donnell Ewert agreed that those individuals would be an exception. Stephanie Bridgett stated that they have discussed that an alcohol and drug counselor is a missing piece for the collaborative courts and agreed that the details needed to be worked out. She continued by stating that the DA's office is interested in pursuing this to help make it more successful.

Donnell Ewert asked how this would be paid for. Jeff Gorder stated that Dean True said that it would be about \$75,000 annually with all benefits included, so the question is how long the group would want to fund the position for. Tracie Neal suggested that maybe it could be realized by reduced services that are currently being provided. Jackie Durant stated that the community-based piece, or the peer-to-peer support, will be really helpful for offender success. Donnell Ewert agreed and stated that it would also help with aftercare. Jeff Gorder asked if the offenders in the DRC would still be included in the group run by the counselor. Tracie Neal stated that even if we had someone in REC who is also attending the DRC, we would still want them tied in to the AOD groups. Chelsey Chappelle stated that it helps with the idea of with building a community around the offenders.

Jeff Gorder moved to approve funding for an alcohol and drug counselor for the collaborative courts to be funded with growth funds for FY 2016/17 and then be budgeted in regular HHSA AB109 budget for following years. Melissa Fowler-Bradley seconded the motion. Motion passed: 4 Ayes, 0 Noes.

Operational Updates

There were no operational updates.

Other items for discussion/future agenda items

Tracie Neal stated that there are a few potentials for upcoming presentations including: Collaborative Courts, Mental Health Clinician, Compliance Team, and the Methadone Clinic update after the first of the year. She concluded by stating that the CCP Planning Survey and next year's meeting schedule should be coming up in November or December.

Adjourn

Jeff Gorder made a motion to Adjourn. Melissa Fowler-Bradly seconded the motion. Motion passed: 4 Ayes, 0 Noes.

Meeting adjourned at 4:44 p.m.

FY 2016-17 Community Corrections Partnership Survey

This survey is designed to help Californians understand your efforts, goals, and successes in implementing Public Safety Realignment. The information you share will be used as the basis of the Board of State and Community Corrections' (BSCC) annual report to the Governor and Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Plans as required by section (11) of subdivision (b) of Section 6027 of the Penal Code. Your responses help to illustrate how counties are allocating and using funds to reduce recidivism while keeping communities safe. We hope you will also consider answering a few optional questions to show how your county is responding to the unique needs of local offenders and what, if any, challenges have arisen and changes have resulted from those responses.

Survey

This survey was designed by the BSCC in consultation with the Department of Finance to assist counties with reporting requirements. Counties completing the required portions of the survey will have met the report requirement. Counties that complete the survey are compensated.

The Budget Act of 2016 (SB 826, Chapter 23) appropriates \$7,900,000 to counties as follows:

Counties are eligible to receive funding if they submit a report to the Board of State and Community Corrections by December 15, 2016, that provides information about the actual implementation of the 2015-16 Community Corrections Partnership plan accepted by the County Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 1230.1 of the Penal Code. The report shall include, but not be limited to, progress in achieving outcome measures as identified in the plan or otherwise available. Additionally, the report shall include plans for the 2016-17 allocation of funds, including future outcome measures, programs and services, and funding priorities as identified in the plan accepted by the County Board of Supervisors.

Funding

Funds will be distributed by January 31, 2017 to counties that comply with all survey requirements as follows:

(1) \$100,000 to each county with a population of 0 to 200,000, inclusive, (2) \$150,000 to each county with a population of 200,001 to 749,999, inclusive, and (3) \$200,000 to each county with a population of 750,000 and above. Allocations will be determined based on the most recent county population data published by the Department of Finance.

Survey Distribution

This survey has been distributed electronically to each Chief Probation Officer as CCP Chair. Each CCP Chair is encouraged to share the survey with CCP members prior to

completion and submission. Responses should represent the collective views of the CCP and not a single agency or individual.

Submission Instructions

In an effort to make the survey more user friendly, the BSCC is using both Microsoft Word and Excel for a complete submittal package. **The survey now consists of two (2) parts and five (5) sections:**

- Part A- to be completed in Microsoft Word
Section 1: CCP Membership;
Section 2: Your Goals, Objectives and Outcome Measures; and
Section 3: Optional Questions.
- Part B- to be completed in Microsoft Excel
Section 4: FY 2015-16 Public Safety Realignment Funding; and
Section 5: FY 2016-17 Public Safety Realignment Funding.

Respondents may now use spell and grammar checks for their narrative responses (Part A, Sections 1, 2, and 3) and Excel's auto-sum features when completing the budgetary questions (Part B, Sections 4 and 5). If you choose not to answer an optional question, please respond "Decline to Respond".

In an effort to produce a more comprehensive report on the implementation of realignment, we are asking for photos and quotes from program participants, if available. You do not need to provide identifying information. Please attach photos of programs in action along with a few quotes. These may be published in the *2011 Public Safety Realignment Act: Fifth Annual Report on the Implementation of Community Corrections Partnership Plans*. Please ensure any individual(s) in the photos have given their consent for use/publication.

To submit the CCP Survey package, as well as providing any optional photos and/or quotes, email all attachments in a single email to:

Helene Zentner, BSCC Field Representative at: Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov
For questions, also contact at: 916-323-8631

Due Date

A single completed survey package (Parts A and B) must be submitted electronically to the BSCC by **Thursday, December 15, 2016**. The CCP is encouraged to collaborate on responses and the CCP Chair should submit the survey. Only one submission by a county will be accepted.

If you experience difficulty completing this survey or need technical assistance, please contact:

Patricia Ferguson, BSCC Associate Governmental Program Analyst
916-322-7539 or Patricia.Ferguson@bscc.ca.gov

Thank you.

FY 2016-17 Community Corrections Partnership Survey PART A

SECTION 1: CCP Membership

Section 1 asks questions related to the CCP composition and meeting frequency. There are five (5) questions in this section.

1. County Name:
2. Penal Code Section 1230 identifies the membership of the CCP. Provide the name of each individual fulfilling a membership role as of October 1, 2016 in the spaces to the right of each membership role. If a membership role is not filled, respond by indicating "vacant."

Chief Probation Officer	Tracie Neal
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court or designee	Melissa Fowler-Bradley
County Supervisor or Chief Administrative Officer or a designee of the Board of Supervisors	Elaine Grossman
District Attorney	Stephen Carlton
Public Defender	Jeffrey Gorder
Sheriff	Tom Bosenko
Chief of Police	Rob Paoletti
Head of the County Department of Social Services	Dianna Wagner
Head of the County Department of Mental Health	Donnell Ewert
Head of the County Department of Employment	Melissa Janulewicz
Head of the County Alcohol and Substance Abuse Programs	Dean True
Head of the County Office of Education	Tom Armelino
A representative from a community-based organization with experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense	Tom Wright
An individual who represents the interests of victims	Angela Jones

3. How often does the CCP meet? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Bi-weekly (every other week)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Monthly
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bi-monthly (every other month)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Quarterly
<input type="checkbox"/>	Semi-Annually
<input type="checkbox"/>	Annually
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify)

4. How often does the Executive Committee of the CCP meet? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

	Bi-weekly(every other week)
	Monthly
	Bi-monthly(every other month)
	Quarterly
	Semi-Annually
	Annually
X	Other (please specify) – Monthly except the months that the CCP Advisory meets

5. Does the CCP have subcommittees or working groups? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If "Yes," list the subcommittees and/or working groups and the purpose.

On February 17, 2016, an SB 678 work group was formed. The work group would include 3 probation staff, a District Attorney staff, a Public Defender staff, and one staff representative from the Superior Court. The work group was tasked with a number of assignments to include: gain a better understanding of the population being sentenced to State Prison from our county, specifically looking deeper into the county's return to prison rate and determine if there is a population that can be managed on supervision and in the community with coordinated treatment services.

SECTION 2: Your Goals, Objectives and Outcome Measures

Section 2 asks questions related to your goals, objectives, and outcome measures. To view your responses provided in the 2015 survey, [click here](#).

For the purpose of this survey:

- **Goals are defined as broad statements the CCP intends to accomplish.**
- **Objectives support identified goals and are defined by statements of specific, measurable aims of the goal.**
- **Outcome measures consist of the actual measurement of stated goals and objectives.**

Example:

Goal	Increase substance use disorder treatment to offenders in ABC County
Objective	40% of participants will complete substance use disorder treatment

Objective	100% of participants will receive screening for substance use disorder treatment
Outcome Measure	Number of participants enrolled in substance use disorder treatment
Outcome Measure	Number of participants completing substance use disorder treatment
Progress toward stated goal	Between January 2016 and October 2016, 70% of participants in substance use disorder treatment reported a decrease in the urge to use drugs. This is a 10% increase from the same period last year.

6. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2015-16. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2015-16, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating "Not Applicable."

Goal	Increase the number of offenders serviced by Pre-arraignment Supervised Own Recognizance (PSOR) and Supervised Own Recognizance (SOR Programs)
Objective	Evaluate bookings in the county jail to expand the type of offenders who are eligible for the PSOR and SOR Programs.
Objective	Solicit stakeholder feedback to improve the program.
Objective	Continue to educate justice partners about program and level of supervision received by offenders.
Outcome Measure	Increase the number of offenders who successfully complete and are sentenced
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	The SOR Program was implemented in FY 2013. The PSOR program was developed and implemented July 1, 2016 with the goal of providing pre-arraignment services on the weekends and holidays. During FY 2015-2016, the number of offenders on the program increased by 21.8%. The failure to appear rate for the offenders on the SOR and PSOR Program was 15.8% a decrease from the prior fiscal year of 3 %. Sixty-five percent of the offenders on the programs were sentenced and exited from the program.

7. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2015-16. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective, and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2015-16, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating "Not Applicable."

Goal	Implement community resource program for offenders being released from custody (jail or prison)
Objective	Determine resources available in the community to provide services to offenders
Objective	Arrange time and location on a monthly basis
Objective	Provide offenders with information about treatment services appropriate to their top criminogenic needs

Outcome Measure	Each newly released offender will have at least 1 treatment referral
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	The Probation Department conducted the first Successful Transition On Probation Parole (STOPP) meeting in January 2016. This monthly event occurs in conjunction with parole to provide access to treatment and community services for those offenders being placed on probation, post release community supervision (PRCS), mandatory supervision (MS) and parole. Offenders being released from custody and under the supervision of either agency are required to attend this mandatory 2 hour monthly meeting within 30 days of release. This event exposes offenders to necessary treatment and community services in one-location as quickly as possible. Services range from treatment providers, health care, life skills, Identification and Social Security services, Veterans Services, housing, etc. During the STOPP meeting, offenders are required to meet with a minimum of five service providers and sign up for a minimum of one treatment program or service. Between referrals from both Probation and Parole, approximately 80 offenders were referred each month.

8. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2015-16. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective, and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2015-16, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating "Not Applicable."

Goal	Not Applicable
Objective	
Objective	
Objective	
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	

9. Will the CCP use the same goals, objectives, and outcome measures identified above in FY 2016-17? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

	Yes
X	No. The CCP will add and/or modify goals, objectives, and outcome measures (continue with section 3)

10. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures for FY 2016-17.

Goal	Develop resources to evaluate CCP funded programs and provide information for areas of improvement
Objective	Solicit training from the UC Corrections Institute on Dr. Edward Latessa's Evidence-Based Correction Program Checklist and Evaluation Protocol.
Objective	Select up to 8 Probation Staff to attend training.
Objective	Select 2 CCP funded programs to be evaluated.
Outcome Measure	8 Probation Staff will be trained on Dr. Edward Latessa's Evidence-Based Correction Program Checklist and Evaluation Protocol.
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	

11. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures for FY 2016-17.

Goal	Update the current Probation adult case plan to better link goals and interventions with the appropriate criminogenic need.
Objective	Work with contracted assessment provider to link goals and interventions with the appropriate criminogenic need
Objective	Train staff on the resulting changes to the adult case plan
Objective	Perform inter-rater reliability process through contracted assessment provider to ensure staff understand how to implement resulting changes
Outcome Measure	Staff are able to correctly use the case plan to link goals and interventions to appropriate criminogenic needs.
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	

12. Describe a goal, one or more objectives and outcome measures for FY 2016-17.

Goal	Hire an Alcohol and Drug Counselor for the Collaborative Courts (BHC and REC)
Objective	Assist offenders in navigating the Criminal Justice System and treatment community.
Objective	Build a peer to peer support system that would support an aftercare program and connection to the AOD community.
Outcome Measure	Increase AOD services for offenders participating in the Collaborative Courts.
Outcome Measure	Increase the coordinated care between the Collaborative Courts and community service providers.

Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	

SECTION 3: Optional Questions

Section 3 asks optional questions about evaluation, data collection, programs and services, training and technical assistance needs, and local best practices. There are 10 questions in this section. Responses will be used by the BSCC and its justice-system partners to better understand the needs of counties. If you choose not to answer an optional question, please respond "Decline to Respond."

13. Does the county evaluate the effectiveness (as defined locally) of programs and/or services funded with its Public Safety Realignment allocation? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If yes, how?
 The county does evaluate the effectiveness of many of the programs/services funded with its Public Safety Realignment allocation. Contracted providers provide monthly updates on attendance and completion of the program. At a minimum of annually, Probation staff review recidivism (as measured by re-entry into the criminal justice system and convictions) of the offenders participating in these contracted programs. The Day Reporting Center, in conjunction with the CCP and the Probation Department, establishes annual goals and outcomes and reports on the progress on a quarterly basis at CCP meetings.

14. Does the county consider evaluation results when funding programs and/or services? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If yes, how?
 The contractor performance and, when available, the outcomes for the offenders are considered when renewing contracts. It is the goal to move toward an improved evaluation process for all contractors as well as internally funded programs. This is an identified goal for FY 2016-2017.

15. Does the county use BSCC definitions (average daily population, conviction, length of stay, recidivism, and/or treatment program completion rates) when collecting data? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

	No, BSCC definitions are not used
X	Average daily population
X	Conviction
X	Length of stay
	Recidivism
X	Treatment program completion rates

16. What percentage of the Public Safety Realignment allocation is used for evidence-based programming? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Less than 20%
	21% 40%
	41% 60%
	61% 80%
	81% or higher

17. We would like to better understand your county's capacity to offer mental health, substance use disorder, behavioral health treatment programs, and/or other services? What type and level of services are now available?

We currently offer a Day Reporting Center, inpatient and outpatient alcohol and drug treatment, sober living, Thinking for a Change, Moral Reconation Therapy, Parenting Counseling, Domestic Violence Treatment, Behavioral Health Collaborative Court, Re-Entry Collaborative Court and Mental Health and Alcohol and Drug assessments at the Community Corrections Center. For offenders with a low to moderate mental health need services are available through Partnership Community Health. County Mental Health services are available to offenders with a severe mental health need.

18. What challenges does your county face in meeting these program and service needs?

Offender attendance to and engagement in programming and services is a significant challenge. This challenge is difficult to address as some offenders are simply not ready to change. Efforts have been made to regularly communicate with the providers to determine attendance or engagement issues early. When these issues are identified, Probation Officers work more closely with the offenders to assist.

Evidence Based Programming that specifically addresses the top criminogenic needs is of prime importance. For some of these criminogenic needs, there are not currently agencies in Shasta County that are certified to provide these services. In addition, many of the programs currently available in the county are not evidenced based.

The Pre-Arrestment Supervised Own Recognizance (PSOR) program has experienced challenges related to eligibility for the program, specifically the criteria in 1319.5 PC. Shasta County struggles with a high failure to appear rate. The current law requires that all offenders with 3 or more failure to appears must go before a bench officer at

arraignment to be placed on a supervised released program. The majority of defendants reviewed for the program have 3 or more failure to appear decreasing the number of defendants eligible for the program over the weekend and requiring the defendants to be arraigned prior to placement in the program.

19. What programmatic changes and/or course corrections have you made in the implementation of Public Safety Realignment that you believe other counties would find helpful?

The Probation Department conducted the first STOPP meeting in January 2016. This monthly event occurs in conjunction with parole to provide access to treatment and services for those offenders being placed on probation, post release community supervision (PRCS), mandatory supervision (MS) and parole. Offenders being released from custody and under the supervision of either agency are required to attend this mandatory monthly meeting within 30 days of release. This event exposes offenders to necessary treatment and services in one-location as quickly as possible. During the STOPP meeting, offenders are required to meet with a minimum of five service providers and sign up for a minimum of one treatment program or service. Between referrals from both Probation and Parole, approximately 80 offenders are referred each month. While the program has been successful in the first several months of implementation, the Probation Department is hoping to see continued growth in attendance for those offenders referred to the program.

20. Describe a local best practice or promising program that has produced positive results. If data exists to support the results, please share.

The Probation Department contracts with a community based organization, Northern Valley Catholic Social Services to provide a housing program for offenders on supervision. The goal of the program is for each offender to obtain, safe, stable and suitable permanent housing, learn to budget their income, develop communication skills with landlords/property management, and gain the tools to properly deal with other tenants and/or issues involved with living in a community complex. The housing program is located at our Community Correction Center and started in October 2013. Since the program started, they have successfully housed (30 days or longer) 144 offenders. They have transitioned 273 people off the street and into an alternative living environment.

As of March 2016, the Day Reporting Center (DRC) has had 69 offenders complete the program since its opening in April 2013. Of the 69 offenders that have completed the program, 10 have re-entered the Criminal Justice System, a 14.5% recidivism rate. Of the 10 offenders who have re-entered the system, 2 have received new convictions, a 3% recidivism rate. Graduations are scheduled for the DRC in the early summer and winter of each year. The next graduation is scheduled for January 2017 and it is anticipated that there will be 20 graduates.

The SOR/PSOR Program supervised 298 offenders in FY 2015/2016, an increase from the prior year of 233 offenders. Of these, 65.1% were successful in making it to sentencing. The program's failure to appear rate was 15.8%. Assessing defendants and placing them on the SOR/PSOR program when eligible has proven to be successful in our community and has reduced the failure to appear rate among the population.

Additionally, it has increased the number of offenders being sentenced by the court and has allowed SOR/PSOR staff the ability to talk with the defendants about treatment programs and other community services available to them pending the court process.

21. Describe how the BSCC can assist your county in meeting its Public Safety Realignment goals through training and/or technical assistance?

NOTE: The information contained in this report will be made public by the BSCC in the annual report to the Governor's Office and the Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership plans in print and on the BSCC website.

22. Provide the contact information for the individual completing this survey in the spaces provided to the right of the list.

Name	Tracie Neal
Organization	Shasta County Probation
Address	2684 Radio Lane
Address 2	
City/Town	Redding
ZIP Code	96001
Email Address	tneal@co.shasta.ca.us
Phone Number	530-245-6200

23. Identify the individual who may be contacted for follow up questions. Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Same as above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (If "Other" provide contact information below)

Name	
Organization	
Address	
Address 2	
City/Town	
ZIP Code	
Email Address	
Phone Number	

ATTENTION: This is only Part A of the Survey. Please complete Part B in Microsoft Excel which consists of two (2) budgetary sections

SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS:

In a single email, please attach both the completed Part A (Word) and completed Part B (Excel) documents, including any optional photos and/or quotes, and email to:

Helene Zentner, Field Representative

Board of State and Community Corrections
916-322-8631 or Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

SHASTA COUNTY PROBATION

2684 Radio Lane, Redding, CA 96001

2017

CCP Executive and Advisory Committee Meetings

january

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

february

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28				

march

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

april

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30						

may

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

june

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

july

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

august

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

september

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

october

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

november

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

december

s	m	t	w	t	f	s
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					



Executive Committee will meet twice per quarter from 3:00pm to 5:00pm. Advisory Committee will meet quarterly from 3:00pm to 5:00pm.

January through November meetings will take place at City Hall in the Caldwell Park Conference Room, 2nd Floor. The December Meeting will take place at First 5 Shasta, 393 Park Marina Circle