SHASTA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Tuesday, February 15, 2000

REGULAR MEETING

9:03 a.m.: Chairman Fust called the Regular Session of the Board of Supervisors to order on the above date with the following present:

District No. 1  -  Supervisor Kehoe
District No. 2  -  Supervisor Fust
District No. 3  -  Supervisor Hawes
District No. 4  -  Supervisor Wilson
District No. 5  -  Supervisor Clarke

County Administrative Officer  -  Doug Latimer
County Counsel  -  Karen Jahr
Clerk of the Board - Carolyn Taylor

INVOCATION

Invocation was given by Captain Robert Souders, Salvation Army.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Supervisor Hawes.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - OPEN TIME

Dave Hawley, Trades and Crafts Unit, and Steve Allen, United Public Employees of California, were present to request that the Board of Supervisors consider the concerns of employees being represented in current labor negotiations and to reach an agreement with them.

CONSENT CALENDAR

By motion made, seconded (Hawes/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors took the following actions, which were listed on the Consent Calendar:

Accepted the Audit Report of County Service Area No. 11 - French Gulch for Fiscal Years ended June 30, 1998 and 1999.  (Auditor-Controller)

Accepted the Audit Report of County Service Area No. 20 - Ox Yoke Road for the seven months ended January 31, 2000 and Fiscal Year ended June 30, 1999.  (Auditor-Controller)

Denied the request to present a late claim filed by Eric Martsch.  (County Counsel)
Approved the minutes of the meeting held on February 8, 2000, as submitted. (Clerk of the Board)

Approved and authorized the Chairman to sign an agreement with “How to Read Your Baby” in the amount of $25,000 to provide Partners in Parenting Education training during the period of February 15, 2000 through June 30, 2000. (Public Health)

Approved and authorized the Chairman to sign an amendment to the contract with the University of California, Davis, which increases the amount by $2,700 to a total of $16,200 for one additional day of Social Worker training during Fiscal Year 1999-2000. (Social Services)

Approved the discharge of accountability for collection of uncollectible AFDC and Food Stamp account debts in the amount of $361,763.48 within the Shasta County Department of Social Services Benefits Issuance Division. (Social Services)

Approved and authorized the Chairman to sign an amendment to the agreement with Goehring Forensic Laboratory which increases the amount by $1,640 to a total of $11,540 for conclusive drug testing during the period of July 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999. (Social Services)

Approved and authorized the Chairman to sign a rental agreement with SuiteAmerica to provide residential accommodations to Deputy Sheriff Trainees who will attend the police academy in Santa Rosa, California, to include the apartment unit, furnishings, housewares, and utilities (water, garbage, gas, electric, and telephone) for a minimum term of 30 days at the rate of $75 per day. (Sheriff)

Took the following actions for the proposed River Hills Permanent Road Division (PRD) located in the Bella Vista area: Received the petitions for the formation and activation of the PRD, received an affidavit verifying information contained in the petitions, received the maintenance cost estimate, received the County Surveyor’s report on the boundary description in the form of a map, received the parcel charge report, and set a public hearing to be held at 9:00 a.m. on May 23, 2000 to consider the formation and activation of the PRD. (Public Works)

Approved and authorized the Chairman to sign a right-of-way contract with Michael Morgan, owner of the Balls Ferry Mobile Home Park, which conveys a temporary construction easement to seismically reinforce the Sacramento River Bridge located on Ash Creek Road. (Public Works)

Adopted Resolution No. 2000-31 which authorizes the Department of Resource Management’s Community Education Section to accept the Local Government Used Oil Opportunity Grant in the amount of $137,366, appoints the Director of Resource Management as the agent for Shasta County, authorizes expenditures for program operation, and authorizes the Auditor-Controller to pay claims for said expenditures. (Community Education Section)

(See Resolution Book No. 41)

REGULAR CALENDAR

BOARD MATTERS

CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES RESOLUTION HONORING SHASTA COUNTY

The Board of Supervisors acknowledged a resolution from the California State Association of Counties honoring Shasta County for being one of the original 27 counties and its 150 years of commitment and service.
RECOMMENDATION FOR APPOINTMENT
MANTON CEMETERY DISTRICT
BRAD MCWHORTER

At the recommendation of Supervisor Clarke and by motion made, seconded (Clarke/Hawes), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors recommended that Brad McWhorter be appointed to the Manton Cemetery District Board of Directors, replacing Marvin Lacy, by the Tehama County Board of Supervisors and that a letter of appreciation be sent to Marvin Lacy for his years of service on the Manton Cemetery District Board of Directors.

APPOINTMENT: JOE BARNETT
EMPLOYEE APPEALS BOARD
CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION

By motion made, seconded (Clarke/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors appointed Joe Barnett to the Employee Appeals Board as an alternate in the Law Enforcement category for a term ending January 2001.

The Board of Supervisors then presented a Certificate of Recognition to Mr. Barnett for his outstanding services as the Fire Recovery Coordinator for the Jones/Canyon Fires.

APPOINTMENTS: BURNEY BASIN
MOSQUITO ABATEMENT DISTRICT

Clerk of the Board Carolyn Taylor informed the Members of the Board of the passing of James Barrington, and amended the recommendation listed in the staff report to include the reappointment of Walt Caldwell and Matt Magnuson only. She also suggested that a certificate of appreciation for Mr. Barrington’s many years of service be sent to his wife.

By motion made, seconded (Hawes/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors reappointed Walt Caldwell and Matt Magnuson to the Burney Basin Mosquito Abatement District Board of Directors for two-year terms ending January 2002 and directed that a certificate of appreciation for Mr. Barrington’s many years of service be sent to his wife.

APPOINTMENTS: PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL

By motion made, seconded (Kehoe/Hawes), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors appointed Mike Davis and Dr. Douglas Treadway to the Private Industry Council Board of Directors for terms ending June 30, 2003.

GENERAL GOVERNMENT

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE/SUPERVISORS’ REPORTS

County Administrative Officer (CAO) Doug Latimer discussed a study conducted by the County Supervisors Association of California (CSAC), in conjunction with the League of California Cities, with results presented in a document entitled California’s Crumbling Road System. The report identified $12.8 billion backlogged for rehabilitating local roads and bridges, and this figure is estimated to increase by about $400 million per year. Mr. Latimer explained that SCA 3, a constitutional amendment that will be voted on by the people, will be an integral part of the solution for this problem. In addition, Assembly Bill (AB) 1303 (Florez and Runner) and AB 1612 (Torlakson), which are cosponsored by CSAC and the League of California Cities, also address this issue.
SCA 3 would shift $500 million annually from the State’s General Fund to the State’s Highway account and provide $375 million a year directly to cities and counties, in the form of a 2.5 cent gas tax shift for local subventions. AB 1303 would remedy the current inequitable distribution of the gas tax. AB 1612 would shift $400 million annually from the State’s General Fund. Half of these funds would be distributed to cities and counties for streets and roads and the other half would go to transit.

At the recommendation of Mr. Latimer, it was the concurrence of the Board of Supervisors that letters in support of these two bills be prepared for distribution. In addition, the Board directed staff to obtain additional copies of the report on California’s Crumbling Road System for distribution to communities throughout the County.

Supervisors reported on issues of countywide interest.

PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL
WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT
ONE-STOP CENTERS

At the recommendation of Don Perry, Executive Director of the Private Industry Council, and by motion made, seconded (Clarke/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors authorized the Private Industry Council (PIC) to publish a notice for the purpose of receiving comments on a recommendation to the Governor, as provided for in the Workforce Investment Act, and receiving a waiver which grandfathers in the existing regional One-Stop Centers.

CITY OF REDDING ANNEXATION PROPOSALS
AGREEMENT: CITY OF REDDING
EXTENSION OF SERVICES
KNIGHTON ROAD/INTERSTATE 5 AREA

Julie Howard of the County Administrative Office presented the staff report which included an overview of annexation proposals by the City of Redding, the status of tax exchange agreement negotiations pertaining to each of these proposed annexations, a request to approve an agreement pertaining to the extension of Redding sewer services to the unincorporated Knighton Road/Interstate 5 (I-5) area and the proposed annexation of unincorporated areas.

Ms. Howard explained that the first annexation, the 84-acre East Cypress/Victor “Island” area, only requires adoption of a tax transfer resolution by the Board of Supervisors before the annexation can be considered and acted upon the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo). The tax transfer proposed by the City would provide for the County to retain 100 percent of the base year property tax and for the City to receive 100 percent of the incremental property tax. County Service Area (CSA) No. 1 will continue to receive “Measure B” charges with property taxes allocated to CSA No. 1 transferred to the City upon annexation.

The second annexation is known as the Hilltop/East Lake Boulevard “Island” area. According to Ms. Howard, since the initial request for annexation was made, the City of Redding received additional requests for inclusion in the annexation area and the City subsequently has amended the boundaries of their request. In addition, Ms. Howard explained that the City of Redding’s initial tax exchange resolution does not address a transfer of sales and transient occupancy tax (TOT) revenue.

Redding City Manager Mike Warren addressed the Board of Supervisors regarding the annexations and tax exchange agreements. Mr. Warren stated that the agreement before the Board is “a fair way of going about a tax exchange agreement.” Mr. Warren, requested, however, that Section II (B) under ANNEXATION of the agreement be amended. He asked for the deletion of the last line of Subsection B which reads “County and City may negotiate local ‘pass-through’ provisions with respect to both current and future sales and transient occupancy taxes.” Mr. Warren explained that the tax exchange agreements that were reached between the County and City previously only dealt with property tax whereby the County kept whatever the base amount was and the City would get the increment, or additional property tax, if any. Mr. Warren further explained that the distribution of sales tax and TOT revenues would continue as they are now and go to the City with no redistribution after annexation. Tax exchange agreements will only deal with property taxes. Supervisor Clarke stated, however, that if sales tax is not addressed in the tax agreement process, once the annexation occurs, it no longer continues to flow to the County, but goes to the City. Therefore, it must be considered with the tax exchange agreement at the beginning of the process. Supervisor Wilson requested clarification of tax exchange agreement requirements under the law, and this was explained by Ms. Howard.
Supervisor Fust expressed his concern that the annexation boundaries being presented today are different from what was first presented. Supervisors Fust and Clarke also expressed their concern that the fiscal analysis data requested of staff regarding sales tax revenue and potential losses to the County had not been provided and although this information is necessary before making any decision, the recommendation is to take action on all of the items. Mr. Warren concurred that it was true the County would lose funds, but suggested that the County should consider the potential development that could occur.

Supervisor Hawes expressed his belief that economic development should be considered in this process. He maintained that unless the subject “islands” are approved for annexation, development will not occur. Annexation will mean new jobs, growth, bring in more residents and will increase economic development. Supervisor Fust indicated that while he was also in favor of economic development, it must be a “win-win” situation for both the County and the City.

Supervisor Clarke displayed a graph showing sales tax revenue received by the City versus the minimal amount received by the County. It was Supervisor Clarke’s belief that the County must retain its portion of discretionary funding in order to provide for the people the Board of Supervisors represents.

Continuing with the presentation of the staff report, Julie Howard explained that with regard to the Tarmac/Abernathy “Sportsplex” area, it would not be timely to act on a tax exchange agreement since the City is not sure what area they are actually going to be annexing. She recommended that no further action be taken on this proposal until it comes back in better form. Supervisor Fust clarified that when it comes back before the Board, the staff report must include the correct boundaries, the amount of property tax that is now collected, the amount of sales tax revenue, if any, and the amount of TOT revenue, if any. Mrs. Howard stated that the staff report would contain all of this information.

While discussing the extension of sewer services to the Knighton Road area, Ms. Howard provided background and clarified the point that no CSA had ever been established for providing sewer service.

At this time, the Board accepted comments from the public. Knighton Road/I-5 area property owners Bill Covert, Bob Dilley, and Jim Medland spoke in favor of the extension of sewer services to the Knighton Road area. Jim Ochs, Superintendent of Pacheco Union School District, expressed his concern regarding the possible addition of a second truck stop at the Knighton Road/I-5 intersection and the increased traffic hazards it would pose for the school. Dr. Don Suther, Edith Davis, Rod Evans, Brenda Haynes, Vernon Parsons, Victor Ogrey, Charles Wagner, and Brian Hill all spoke in opposition to the proposed annexation and the extension of sewer services.

At the request of Supervisor Kehoe, City Manager Mike Warren clarified the City’s position regarding annexation of the area by explaining that there are no plans to annex the Churn Creek Bottom area. The only question is whether or not there is a desire to have sewer services extended to the area.

Supervisor Fust expressed his belief that the agreement before the Board of Supervisors is “an agreement to agree, in perpetuity,” and would be taking away discretionary action of future Boards. County Counsel Karen Jahr and Assistant County Counsel Mike Ralston explained their concern that there would be no way to enforce the contract should there be disagreement in the future. It was not the opinion of Counsel that the agreement was illegal, only that there could be future problems with enforcement issues. It was also pointed out that the contract has “terminate” which would purport to make the balance of the contract survive even if a portion of the contract were deemed to be null and void. With regard to the tax exchange agreement portion of the contract, Counsel stated that the language is such that the City and County agree to “take action at a later date,” with no way to enforce it. The agreement purports to bind the City and County to a particular tax exchange formula, subject to future amendment. However, the staff report is somewhat at odds with that, because the report acknowledges that there is insufficient information to actually enter into an agreement with regard to all of these areas.
Supervisor Clarke specifically addressed the language dealing with the extension of sewer services. She expressed her belief that if this agreement is to go on indefinitely, it would be impossible for the City of Redding to ever annex this area. Her reasoning was that the City would have agreed that they are only going to provide sewer service to the area through a CSA, which would preclude the area from ever becoming part of the City’s jurisdiction. Counsel’s response was that there are different ways of looking at contracts and they can always be modified.

City Manager Mike Warren restated the City’s need to know if the Board of Supervisors is amenable to a tax exchange agreement, and whether the City should move forward with annexations and development. He explained that the City has already adopted the “agreement to agree,” and he urged the Board to do likewise, with the deletion of the sentence he previously requested be removed.

A motion was made by Supervisor Kehoe and seconded by Supervisor Wilson to take the following actions:

1. Modify the proposed agreement attached to the staff report by deleting the last line of Section II. ANNEXATION, Subsection B, which read “County and City may negotiate local ‘pass-through’ provisions with respect to both current and future sales and transient occupancy taxes.”

2. Approve and authorize the Chairman to sign the agreement, as modified.

3. Directed staff to send a copy of the adopted agreement to the City of Redding together with a request that the City Council also take action approving and entering into the agreement.

Under discussion, Supervisor Clarke recited her understanding of the agreement which is that the City will extend sewer services to the Knighton/I-5 area to a CSA, neither the City nor the County will bear any of the cost, and this will happen at some unspecified time in the future when a person or means is found to fund it. The parties are “agreeing to agree” at some unspecified time in the future, to negotiate tax exchange agreements on these three parcels. These tax exchange agreements will provide for the base property tax to stay with the County and any growth in property tax to go to the City. Under this motion, the Board is deleting any opportunity in any of these areas to discuss the pass through of any future sales tax or TOT tax revenues, and the agreement will remain in effect even if the sewer line extensions or the annexations, all or in part, do not occur. Supervisor Clarke questioned why the Board would not wait to take action until all information is available before entering into the agreement.

Supervisor Fust requested clarification of the motion. He asked if under this motion the Board of Supervisors is being asked to agree on the original language in the original agreement with regard to the Knighton Road extension of services. Supervisor Kehoe explained that the motion is germane to the proposed agreement including the modification of Section II, ANNEXATION, Subsection B, by dropping the last sentence.

Supervisor Fust also asked, with regard to the East Cypress/Victor Island area, if the Board would be agreeing to proceed with a tax exchange agreement that would leave the existing property tax with the County (100 percent) and the growth going to the City. With regard to the Hilltop/East Lake Boulevard Island, he stated that he was not sure what the Board would be agreeing to, and asked if there was an agreement with the City on the tax exchange. Julie Howard explained that the City of Redding was scheduled to rescind the previous resolution and adopt a new one which will reflect the entire area. Supervisor Fust then stated that, as he understood the motion, it would allow for the same type of tax exchange agreement on this entire area to which Supervisor Kehoe concurred. Supervisor Clarke clarified that this did not include sales tax revenue or TOT revenue.

With regard to the Tarmac/Abernathy Sportsplex area, Supervisor Fust stated that it was originally intended that action on this area be deferred because the Board did not have complete information on this area. Julie Howard explained that at the time the report was prepared, staff was not sure of the final annexation area. Therefore, there is no final tax exchange resolution for the County to consider for action. Supervisor Fust asked if the motion, would include a tax exchange agreement on what has been put before the Board as Map No. 4 with the inclusion of the eastern, northern and southern properties. Supervisor Kehoe concurred with this assessment. Supervisor Clarke stated that the County does not have all of the necessary information, but it will be brought back before the Board in tax exchange agreement form at such time as the City of Redding has adopted this with all the necessary provisions included. However, she pointed out that by taking action today, the Board of Supervisors would be committing the County to agree with whatever the City of Redding submits.
The motion was passed by the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Kehoe, Wilson, and Hawes
NOES: Supervisors Clarke and Fust
ABSTAIN: None

Supervisor Fust explained that he voted no because he believed that actions should be based upon clearly defined information and the action taken today has “too many ways to go wrong.” With regard to the extension of services to Knighton Road, he expressed his belief that the cart is being placed before the horse. He also indicated there should be a task force made up of the County, City, affected agencies, and property owners to determine if it is feasible to extend those sewer services to the Knighton Road area and to identify who will pay for it. Once a project is defined, then it could be brought to the Board of Supervisors for the formation of a CSA.

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

COUNTY CLAIMS

By motion made, seconded (Hawes/Kehoe), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors authorized the issuance of warrants totaling $43,017.00 for County operating funds and special districts, as submitted by Auditor-Controller Rick Graham.

COUNTY COUNSEL

PUBLIC HEARING

CALIFORNIA LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY
TAX-EXEMPT FINANCING: NOR CAL EMS
AGREEMENT: REGIONAL COUNCIL OF RURAL COUNTIES
RESOLUTION NOS. 2000-32 AND 2000-33

At the recommendation of County Counsel Karen Jahr and John Brook of the Regional Council of Rural Counties and by motion made, seconded (Kehoe/Clarke), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2000-32 which authorizes the execution of a joint powers agreement, by which Shasta County would join the California Local Government Finance Authority, a joint powers agency sponsored by the Regional Council of Rural Counties.

The Board of Supervisors then conducted a public hearing to receive public comment and consider adoption of a resolution which would approve tax-exempt financing to be undertaken by the California Local Government Finance Authority to benefit NorCal EMS. The public hearing was opened, at which time no spoke for or against the proposal, and the hearing was closed.

By motion made, seconded (Hawes/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2000-33 which approves tax-exempt financing to be undertaken by the California Local Government Finance Authority to benefit NorCal EMS.

(See Resolution Book No. 41)

SUPPORT SERVICES

MANDATORY DRUG AND ALCOHOL TESTING PROGRAM

County Administrative Officer Doug Latimer informed the Board that Support Services Director Harry Albright, had requested that the agenda item dealing with the implementation of a mandatory drug and alcohol testing program be pulled from the agenda to be brought back before the Board of Supervisors for action at a later date.
CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCEMENT

Chairman Fust announced that the Board of Supervisors would recess to a Closed Session to take the following actions:

1. Confer with counsel to discuss existing litigation entitled Haglan v. Noll, Allstate v. County of Shasta, and Woolf v. County of Shasta, pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9, subdivision (a).

2. Confer with its Labor Negotiator, County Administrative Officer Doug Latimer, to discuss the following employee organizations: Shasta County Employees Association, Shasta County Mid-Management Association, Deputy Sheriff’s Association, Sheriffs Administrative Association, Professional Peace Officers’ Association, Unrepresented Employees, United Public Employees of California, and Trades and Crafts, pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6.

11:41 a.m.: The Board of Supervisors recessed to Closed Session.

1:20 p.m.: The Board of Supervisors recessed from Closed Session.

1:38 p.m.: The Board of Supervisors reconvened in Open Session with all Members, County Counsel Karen Jahr, and Administrative Board Clerk Pamela English present.

AFTERNOON CALENDAR

REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION ACTIONS

County Counsel Karen Jahr reported that the Board of Supervisors met in Closed Session to discuss existing litigation, as well as labor negotiations. With regard to the case entitled Haglan v. Noll, the Board unanimously authorized the assignment of this case to Gary Brickwood. No other reportable action was taken.

WORKSHOP

GENERAL GOVERNMENT

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

DESIGNATIONS OF TOBACCO TAX SETTLEMENT FUNDS

Chairman Fust clarified that the matter before the Board of Supervisors was the designation of tobacco tax settlement funds obtained through a lawsuit filed against the tobacco industry. These funds are not tax monies, and the funds are not related to Propositions 99 or 10.

County Administrative Officer (CAO) Doug Latimer reported that on November 16, 1998, the National Association of Attorneys General announced a settlement to more than forty lawsuits filed by states (including California) and localities against the tobacco industry. Under the terms of the agreement, the tobacco industry will make annual payments to states in perpetuity. The payments are expected to total $206 billion over the next 25 years. California is projected to receive an estimated $25 billion over 25 years. Half of the funds go to the State general fund and the other half goes to the counties and four cities that filed their own lawsuits. He further reported that Shasta County’s total projected share of the settlement is estimated to be $55,599,000.

CAO Latimer explained that the intent of the lawsuit against the tobacco companies was to reimburse state and local governments for billions of dollars in health care costs resulting from the health hazards of smoking. The state and local governments were forced to spend money on tobacco related health care for the indigent and low income, thereby depleting scarce General Fund resources for infrastructure and capital needs.
CAO Latimer pointed out that the County health departments have seen their primary funding streams secured through realignment. Medi-Cal and other state/federal allocations have remained steady or grown. Health program growth during the last five years has outpaced that of the County average, and reserves as a percent of budget are healthy. Additionally, a review of contracts with local community organizations through the four health and welfare departments (Social Services, Mental Health, Public Health, Housing and Community Action) demonstrates that much of the growth in resources for the health and welfare functional area has been directed to local community groups through agreements with the County for services. A total of $8 million has been approved by the Board for distribution during Fiscal Year 1999-2000 to private and private nonprofit organizations to benefit our community. He expressed his concern that if the State were to have financial troubles, the Governor may justify taking vehicle license fees (VLF) away from the counties by reasoning that the settlement funds could be used in place of VLF.

In recognition of the County’s obligation to indigent residents under Welfare and Institutions Code 17000.5 to provide assistance that includes medical care, CAO Latimer recommended that 10 percent of this County’s tobacco settlement be designated for the Shasta Community Health Center (SCHC). Current contractual obligations call for $204,000 to be paid annually to the health center by the County. If the Board approves the recommendation, staff will prepare an amendment to the operating agreement for approval to reflect that the quarterly payments be based upon tobacco settlement estimates, with a final adjustment following each year-end. This action guarantees SCHC a source of funding that is more stable than discretionary County General Funds. Finally, he recommended that the majority and remainder of this year’s tobacco settlement payments be placed in trust pending the outcome of the County’s capital plan update which is currently underway.

Following its questioning of staff on this matter, the Board of Supervisors received comments from the following members of the audience in support of the allocation of funds to SCHC and urging the Board to allocate a portion of this funding to the health care agencies throughout Shasta County serving the working poor/medically indigent, use the funding on that portion of the community with tobacco related health issues/illnesses, conduct public hearings to allow the community more time to respond to this issue, and set up a committee to look into the distribution of these funds: Barry Ulloth; Anna Engman, Interim Administrator with Mayer’s Memorial Hospital District; Karen Scholes, Trustee for Mayer’s Memorial Hospital; Pam Tupper, Executive Director of the Shingletown Medical Center; Craig Kaiser; Ray Hamby, Executive Director of Hill Country Community Clinic; Dolores Ellis with the Redding Area League of Women Voters; Bill Kerrigan with Three Circles of Health; Dr. Lang Dayton; George Govier, Regional CEO of Catholic Healthcare West Northstate; Shannon Phillips with the McConnell Foundation; Melinda Brown with People of Progress; Dean Germano with SCHC; Mike Evans; Dr. Andrew Deckert, Public Health Officer; and Dennis Kessinger, President and Executive Director of the Shasta Regional Community Foundation.

After discussing staff’s recommendations, the comments received, and their reasoning on this subject, and by motion made, seconded (Clarke/Fust), and carried, the Board of Supervisors approved the designations recommended by staff and directed the Auditor-Controller to maintain the trust and keep the interest in the trust solely to the source of funds. Supervisor Kehoe voted no.

By further motion made, seconded (Kehoe/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors directed staff to look into the formation of a broad based advisory group to address funding for subsequent years and bring back a recommendation to the Board.

3:02 p.m.: The Board of Supervisors adjourned.

Chairman

ATTEST:

CAROLYN TAYLOR
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By__________________________
Deputy