

**SHASTA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS/REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

Tuesday, July 3, 2000

**SPECIAL MEETING**

9:00 a.m.: Chairman Fust called the Special Session of the Board of Supervisors and Redevelopment Agency to order on the above date with the following present:

District No. 1 - David A. Kehoe  
 District No. 2 - Irwin Fust  
 District No. 3 - Glenn Hawes  
 District No. 4 - Molly Wilson  
 District No. 5 - Patricia A. Clarke

Redevelopment Agency Director - Julie Howard  
 Assistant County Counsel - Michael Ralston  
 Administrative Board Clerk - Pamela English

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Supervisor Wilson.

**PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - OPEN TIME**

Chairman Fust urged anyone present who wished to speak on any subject other than redevelopment to come forward, at which time no one indicated an interest to do so. All other comments were deferred until the public hearing was opened.

**SCHEDULED HEARING**

**BUCKEYE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

This was the time set for the Board of Supervisors and Redevelopment Agency, in concurrent session, to conduct a public hearing to receive oral and written testimony on the proposed Buckeye Redevelopment Project, after which the Board and Agency will consider adopting resolutions and introducing and waiving the reading of an ordinance pertaining to the Project Plan and Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) 1-97.

Redevelopment Agency Director Julie Howard entered into the record documents pertaining to published and mailed notices of the joint public hearing. She then outlined the official process, documents, and actions necessary, as outlined on the agenda. She and Sarah Haddox, Senior Planner with the City of Redding Redevelopment Agency, responded to questions from the Board Members.

Chairman Fust summarized the order of procedure and opened the public hearing. He called for any statements or testimony in favor of the Redevelopment Plan, at which time no one spoke. He then encouraged comments from those who would like to express opposition, at which time Dwight Bailey, Lorie Holsteen, and Eric Cassano addressed their concerns about this project which included funding for local school districts, potential conflicts of interest within agencies involved and local officials, lack of public notice, the potential to bypass voters through the redevelopment process, and the declaration of the project area to be blighted. The following questioned staff about this project and the potential effects it may have on their property, roads, and traffic signals, as well as the provision of services: Lela Mose, Curtis Brown, Rosemary Heath, Joe Pattari, Bill Gist, and Beverly Ogden. Staff responded to all questions and comments, and Chairman Fust closed the public hearing after receiving no further requests to speak.

By motion made, seconded (Wilson/Clarke), and unanimously carried, the Redevelopment Agency adopted RDA Resolution No. 2000-4 which makes findings as to the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Redevelopment Plan for the Buckeye Redevelopment Project and adopts a Mitigation Monitoring Plan.

(See Redevelopment Agency Resolution Book)

By motion made, seconded (Kehoe/Clarke), and unanimously carried, the Redevelopment Agency adopted RDA Resolution No. 2000-5 which finds that the use of taxes allocated from the Buckeye Redevelopment Project for the purpose of improving and increasing the community's supply of low-and moderate-income housing outside the project area will be of benefit to the project.

(See Redevelopment Agency Resolution Book)

11:12 a.m.: The Redevelopment Agency adjourned.

By motion made, seconded (Kehoe/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2000-134 which makes findings as to the Final EIR for the Redevelopment Plan for the Buckeye Redevelopment Project and adopts a Mitigation Monitoring Plan.

(See Resolution Book No. 41)

By motion made, seconded (Wilson/Hawes), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 2000-135 which finds that the use of taxes allocated from the Buckeye Redevelopment Project for the purpose of improving and increasing the community's supply of low-and moderate-income housing outside the project area will be of benefit to the project.

(See Resolution Book No. 41)

By motion made, seconded (Clarke/Kehoe), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors introduced and waived the reading of an ordinance which adopts the Redevelopment Plan for the Buckeye Redevelopment Project.

11:13 a.m.: The Board of Supervisors recessed.

11:16 a.m.: The Board of Supervisors reconvened in Open Session with all Members, Assistant County Counsel Michael Ralston, and Administrative Board Clerk Pamela English present.

## **GENERAL GOVERNMENT**

### **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

#### **LEGISLATIVE UPDATE**

At the recommendation of Assistant Public Works Director Pat Minturn and by motion made, seconded (Clarke/Wilson), and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors approved and authorized the Chairman to sign a letter to CALFED expressing Shasta County's concern that the document entitled "California's Water Future - A Framework for Action" released by CALFED for public review on June 9, 2000 will not provide an enduring solution to California's water problems.

At the recommendation of Mr. Minturn and by consensus, the Board of Supervisors approved and authorized the Chairman to sign a letter to Congressman Wally Herger expressing Shasta County's conceptual approval of proposed legislation to study the feasibility of the Sites Reservoir and a proposed diversion of water from the Sacramento River with the condition that the study be prepared in consultation with Sacramento Valley Central Valley Project contractors and water rights holders.

11:38 a.m.: The Board of Supervisors adjourned.

---

Chairman

ATTEST:

CAROLYN TAYLOR  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy